arXiv:1611.07632v1 [math.AP] 23 Nov 2016

Standing waves for the nonlinear Fractional Schrödinger equation involving critical growth

Hua Jin, Wenbin Liu *

Department of Mathematics, China University of Mining and Technology, Xuzhou 221116, PR China

Abstract. In this paper, we study the existence and concentration phenomena of solutions for the following singularly perturbed fractional Laplacian problem

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(u) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N > 2s$ and the nonlinearity f has critical growth. Without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition and monotonicity condition on $f(t)/t$, we construct a localized bound-state solution concentrating around an isolated component of the positive minimum point of V as $\varepsilon \to 0$.

MR Subject Classification. 47G20 35B65 35J50

Keywords. Fractional Laplacian, nonlinear Schrödinger equation, standing wave, critical growth, s-harmonic extension

*Corresponding author. *Telephone number:* (86-516) 83591530. *Fax number:* (86-516) 83591591. *E-mail addresses:* jinhua197927@163.com (H. Jin), liuwenbin-xz@163.com (W. Liu).

1 Introduction

In this paper, we are concerned with the standing waves for the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.1}$$

which is derived from the nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation

$$i\hbar\varphi_t - \hbar^2(-\Delta)^s\varphi - V(x)\varphi + f(\varphi) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\hbar$ is the Plank constant which is a very small physical quantity, i is the imaginary unit and $N > 2s$. $(-\Delta)^s (0 < s < 1)$ is the fractional Laplacian operator which can be seen as the infinitesimal generators of Lévy stable diffusion processes (see[3]). This operator arises in many areas such as physics, biology, chemistry and finance (see[3, 22]). The fractional Schrödinger equation is a fundamental equation of fractional quantum mechanics. It was discovered by Laskin [21, 22] as a result of extending the Feynman path integral, from the Brownian-like to Lévy-like quantum mechanical path, where the Feynman path integral leads to the classical Schrödinger equation, and the path integral over Lévy trajectories leads to the fractional Schrödinger equation. For further background in this field, we refer to [24] and the references therein.

In the following, we are concerned with the standing waves of (1.2), solutions of the form

$$\varphi(x,t) = e^{-iwt/\hbar}u(x), \quad w \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{1.3}$$

By assuming that $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is continuous such that

$$f(e^{i\theta}u) = e^{i\theta}f(u), \quad u, \theta \in \mathbb{R},$$

and inserting (1.3) to (1.2), we have

$$\hbar^2(-\Delta)^s u + (V(x) - w)u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Let $\hbar = \varepsilon^s$ and write $V - w$ as V, then we get (1.1). In quantum mechanics, the existence and concentration phenomena of solutions when $\varepsilon \to 0$ are particularly important.

Now, let us say more on the background for the problems. When $s = 1$, the equation (1.1) is reduced to a local elliptic equation

$$-\varepsilon^2\Delta u + V(x)u = f(u), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{1.4}$$

During the last three decades, many papers have been devoted to the singularly perturbed Schrödinger equation (1.4) involving the subcritical growth or critical growth. An interesting class of solutions of (1.4) is a family of solutions that develop a spike shape around some point in $\mathbb{R}^N$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Based on a Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method, A. Floer and A. Weinstein [17] first studied the existence of single-peak solutions for $N = 1$ and $f(t) = t^3$. They constructed a single-peak solution which concentrates around any given nondegenerate critical point of V. More related results for (1.4) can be seen in [8, 9, 10] and the references therein. J. Byeon and L. Jeanjean [10] considered the existence and concentration phenomena of the solutions to (1.4) with the following conditions:

(V_1) $V \in C(\mathbb{R}^N, \mathbb{R})$ and $0 < V_0 = \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}^N} V(x)$.

(V_2) There is a bounded domain O such that

$$m \equiv \inf_{x\in O} V(x) < \min_{x\in\partial O} V(x).$$

(f_1) $f \in C(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $f(t) = 0$ for $t \le 0$ and $\lim_{t\to 0} f(t)/t = 0$.

(f_2) There exists $p \in (1, 2^* - 1)$ such that $\limsup_{t\to\infty} f(t)/t^p < \infty$.

(f_3) There exists $T > 0$ such that $mT^2 < 2F(T)$, where $F(t) := \int_0^t f(t)dt$.

The main theorem in [10] showed that for small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive solution which clusters near a local minimum point of V. For the critical nonlinearity f, the similar results were obtained in [28] and [29]. To study the concentration phenomena of solutions to (1.4), the problem

$$-\Delta u + mu = f(u), \quad u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}), \tag{1.5}$$

which is called as the limit problem plays a crucial role. In the celebrated paper [5], H. Berestycki and P. Lions first proposed the Berestycki-Lions conditions which are almost optimal for the existence of ground state solutions to (1.5) when f satisfies the subcritical condition. For the critical nonlinearity f, because of the lack of compactness of $H^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the existence of ground state solutions of problem (1.5) becomes rather more complicated. In [31], the critical case was considered for the existence of ground state solutions to (1.5).

Now, we return our attention to the problem (1.1). In the nonlocal case, that is, when $s \in (0,1)$, even in the subcritical case, there are only few references on existence and concentration phenomena for fractional nonlinear equation (1.1), maybe because techniques developed for local case can not be adapted immediately. In [15], J. Dávila, M. del Pino and J. Wei studied the existence of positive solutions to (1.1) with $f(u) = u^p (1 < p < 2_s^* - 1, 2_s^* = 2N/(N-2s))$. By applying the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction method, they proved that the positive solutions exhibit multiple spikes near given topologically nontrivial critical points of V or cluster near a given local maximum point of V. Recently, by a penalization method, C. O. Alves and O. H. Miyagaki [2] considered the existence and concentration phenomena of solutions for the subcritical problem $\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = g(u)$ when the general nonlinearity g satisfies:

(g_1) $\lim_{t\to 0} g(t)/t = 0$.

(g_2) There exists $p \in (1, 2_s^* - 1)$ such that $\limsup_{t\to\infty} g(t)/t^p < \infty$.

(g_3) There exists $\theta > 2$ such that $0 < \theta G(t) \le g(t)t, \forall t > 0$, where $G(t) := \int_0^t g(t)dt$.

(g_4) The function $\frac{g(t)}{t}$ is increasing for $t > 0$.

More about the fractional singularly perturbed fractional Schrödinger equation, we refer to [13, 16, 26]. In [25], by using the extension approach, under the subcritical condition, J. Seok constructed a positive solution exhibiting multiple spikes near any given local minimum components of the potential V when the following hypotheses were imposed:

$(V_2)'$ There are local minimum components of V for some $k \ge 1$, i.e., there are k bounded open sets $O_1, \cdots, O_k \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $m_i := \inf_{x\in O_i} V(x) < \min_{x\in\partial O_i} V(x), i = 1, \cdots, k$.

(H_1) $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ and $f(t) = o(t)$ as $t \to 0$.

(H_2) $\limsup_{t\to\infty} f(t)/t^p < C$ for some $C > 0$ and $p \in (1, 2_s^* - 1)$.

(H_3) There exists $T > 0$ such that $mT^2 < 2F(T)$, where $F(t) := \int_0^t f(t)dt$ and $m = \max_{i=1,\cdots,k} m_i$.

Denote

$$\mathcal{M}_i \equiv \{x \in O_i : V(x) = m_i\}.$$

Theorem A.(see[25]) *Let $N > 2s$. Fix arbitrary $s \in (0,1)$ and assume $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is a C^1 function satisfying $(V_1), (V_2)'$ and f satisfies $(H_1)-(H_3)$. Then, for any small $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a positive classical solution u_ε of (1.1) which exihibits k spikes near each $\mathcal{M}_i$. More precisely, u_ε develops k local maximum points $y_{\varepsilon,i} \in O_i$ satisfying*

(i) $dist(y_{\varepsilon,i}, \mathcal{M}_i) \to 0$ *up to a subsequence;*
(ii) $u_\varepsilon(y_{\varepsilon,i}) > c$ *for some constant* $c > 0$ *independent of* $\varepsilon > 0$*;*
(iii) $u_\varepsilon(x) \le C \sum_{i=1}^k \frac{\varepsilon^{N+2s}}{(\varepsilon^2+|x-y_{\varepsilon,i}|^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}$.

To study the existence of standing waves of (1.1), the limit problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u + mu = f(u), u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{1.6}$$

also plays a crucial role. In many papers, the authors studied the existence of (ground state) solutions for fractional Schrödinger equation when the nonlinearity f satisfies the subcritical growth or critical growth (cf. [6, 27, 30]). For the critical case, the lack of compactness in the imbedding $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is the main difficulty. What's more, (PS)-condition, in general, fails. More recently, X. M. He and W. M. Zou [18] investigated the existence and concentration results for the critical problem

$$\varepsilon^{2s}(-\Delta)^s u + V(x)u = g(u) + u^{2_s^*-1} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N,$$

when g satisfies $(g_1), (g_3) - (g_4)$ and

$(g_2)'$ There exist constants $q, \sigma \in (2, 2_s^*), C_0 > 0$ such that

$$g(t) \ge C_0 t^{q-1}, \quad \forall t \ge 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\to\infty} g(t)/t^{\sigma-1} = 0.$$

A natural problem is that in the critical growth, whether the results like Theorem A hold without Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition and monotonicity condition on $f(t)/t$ for (1.1). In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to this question.

Before stating our main result, we introduce the assumptions on $f(t)$.

(F_1) $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^+, \mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{t\to 0} f(t)/t = 0$.

(F_2) $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t)/t^{2_s^*-1} = 1$.

(F_3) There exists $\tilde{C} > 0$ and $p < 2_s^*$ such that $f(t) \ge t^{2_s^*-1} + \tilde{C}t^{p-1}, t \ge 0$.

Now, we state the main theorem.

Let

$$\mathcal{M} \equiv \{x \in O : V(x) = m\}.$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $N > 2s$ *and* $s \in (0, 1)$*. Suppose that* $V : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ *is a* C^1 *function satisfying* $(V_1) - (V_2)$ *and* f *satisfies* $(F_1) - (F_3)$*. Then for any small* $\varepsilon > 0$*, there exists a positive solution* v_ε *of* (1.1) *if* $\max\{2_s^* - 2, 2\} < p < 2_s^*$*. Moreover, there exists a maximum point* y_ε *of* v_ε *such that* $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}$

dist$(y_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}) = 0$ *and for any such* y_ε, $w_\varepsilon(x) \equiv v_\varepsilon(\varepsilon x + y_\varepsilon)$ *converges (up to a subsequence) uniformly to a least energy solution of* (1.6).

Remark 1.2. The condition $f \in C^1$ in (F_1) is to guarantee that the solution u of (1.6) satisfies the fractional Pohozăev identity. Since we are concerned with the positive solution, we assume $f(t) \equiv 0, t \leq 0$.

The proof of Theorem 1.1 is inspired by the idea in [29]. Precisely, we use a truncation approach and reduce the critical problem to a subcritical one, thus we seek the solutions of a truncated problem in some neighborhood of the set of ground state solutions to the limit problem by the extension approach. Finally, by the elliptic estimate we show that a solution of the truncated problem is indeed a solution of the original problem.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we introduce a variational setting of our problem and present some preliminary results about the extended problem. Section 3 is devoted to the study of ground state solutions of the limit problem (1.6). The property of ground state solutions of (1.6) such as uniform boundedness is given by using the Moser iterative technique. Section 4 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

2 Preliminaries

By the scale change $x \to x/\varepsilon$ and denote $V_\varepsilon(x) = V(\varepsilon x)$, we can see that (1.1) is equivalent to

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V_\varepsilon(x)u = f(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \tag{2.1}$$

Thus, to study (1.1), it suffices to study (2.1). Since our results are given in the fractional Sobolev space, we present a quick survey of some preliminaries and properties.

2.1. Fractional order Sobolev space

The fractional Laplacian operator $(-\Delta)^s$ with $s \in (0,1)$ of a function $u : \mathbb{R}^N \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}((-\Delta)^s u)(\xi) = |\xi|^{2s}\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the Fourier transform. Consider the fractional order Sobolev space

$$H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\xi|^{2s}|\hat{u}|^2 + \hat{u}^2)d\xi < \infty\},$$

where $\hat{u} \doteq \mathcal{F}(u)$. The norm is defined by $\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|\xi|^{2s}|\hat{u}|^2 + \hat{u}^2)d\xi)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By Plancherel's theorem, we have $\|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \|\hat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u(x)|^2dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widehat{(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u}(\xi)|^2d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|\xi|^s|\hat{u}|)^2d\xi \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s}|\hat{u}|^2d\xi, \qquad \forall u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N).\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = (\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u(x)|^2 + u^2)dx)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

The space $D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined as the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ under the norms

$$\|u\|^2_{D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\xi|^{2s}|\hat{u}|^2d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u(x)|^2dx.$$

Since we investigate the problem (2.1), we need the fractional Sobolev space $H^s_{V_\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which is a Hilbert space of $D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with the norm

$$\|u\|_{H^s_{V_\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u(x)|^2 + V_\varepsilon(x)u^2)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} < \infty.$$

For the reader's convenience, we recall the main embedding results for the fractional Sobolev spaces.

Lemma 2.1.(see[23]) *Let $H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N) = \{u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : u(x) = u(|x|)\}$. For any $s \in (0,1), N > 2s$, $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in [2, 2^*_s]$ and compactly embedded into $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in [1, 2^*_s)$. Moreover, $H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is compactly embedded into $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in (2, 2^*_s)$.*

Lemma 2.2.(see[14, 24]) *For any $s \in (0,1)$, $D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, i.e., there exists $S_s > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{L^{2^*_s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S_s\|u\|_{D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

2.2. The variational setting

Associated to (2.1), the energy functional $I : H^s_{V_\varepsilon(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N) \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u(x)|^2 + V_\varepsilon(x)u^2)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u)dx, \quad \forall u \in H^s_{V_\varepsilon(x)}(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(t)dt$. The conditions $(F_1) - (F_3)$ imply that $I(u) \in C^1$.

Definition 2.3. We say that $u \in H^s_{V_\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution of (2.1), if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}\phi + V_\varepsilon(x)u\phi)dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u)\phi dx, \ \forall \phi \in H^s_{V_\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N).$$

Proposition 2.4.(see[30]) *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1,*

(i) There exists a positive radial ground state solution for (1.6) *whose maximum is attained at* $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

(ii) Let S_m *be the set of positive radial ground state solutions of* (1.6) *such that* $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ *is the maximum point for any* $u \in S_m$, *then* S_m *is compact in* $H^s_r(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

(iii) For any solution u *of* (1.6) *satisfies the Pohozăev identity*

$$\frac{N-2s}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 = N\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u), \tag{2.3}$$

where $G(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) - \frac{m}{2}u^2$.

(iv)For any solution u *of* (1.6) *is also a mountain pass solution.*

2.3. Extended problem

The fractional Laplacian operator is defined in the whole space through the Fourier transform(see(2.2)). In [12], L. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre introduced that the fractional Laplacian operator also can be realized in a local way by using one more variable and the so-called s-harmonic extension. They developed a local interpretation of the fractional Laplacian operator given in $\mathbb{R}^N$ by considering a Dirichlet to Neumann type operator in the domain $\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+ := \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, +\infty)$. More precisely, for $u \in D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the solution $U \in D^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ of

$$\begin{cases} -\text{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla U) = 0 & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ U(x,0) = u & \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases}$$

is called s-harmonic extension of u and satisfies

$$-\lim_{t\to 0} t^{1-2s}\partial_t U(x,t) = N_s(-\Delta)^s u(x) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where $N_s = 2^{1-2s}\Gamma(1-s)/\Gamma(s)$. We denotes $U \doteq E_s(u)$ and $u = tr(U) = U(x,0)$. From [12], the expression of s-harmonic extension is defined by

$$U(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_s(x-\xi,t)u(\xi)d\xi, \tag{2.4}$$

where $P_s(x,t) = C_{N,s}\frac{t^{2s}}{(|x|^2+|t|^2)^{\frac{N+2s}{2}}}$ with constant $C_{N,s}$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_s(x,1)dx = 1$.

The space $D^1(t^{1-2s},\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ denotes the completion of $C_0^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+})$ with the norm

$$\|U\|^2_{D^1(t^{1-2s},\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2s}|\nabla U(x,t)|^2 dxdt,$$

which satisfies(see[7])

$$\|U\|_{D^1(t^{1-2s},\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)} = \sqrt{N_s}\|u\|_{D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{2.5}$$

It is known that(see[20]) for any $U \in D^1(t^{1-2s},\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$, its trace $U(x,0)$ belongs to $D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and the trace map is continuous as follows,

$$\|U(x,0)\|_{D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C\|U\|_{D^1(t^{1-2s},\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)}. \tag{2.6}$$

By use of s-harmonic extension, we introduce the extended problem about (1.6) and (2.1) respectively,

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla U) = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{1}{N_s}\lim_{t\to 0} t^{1-2s}\partial_t U(x,t) = -mU(x,0) + f(U(x,0)) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla U) = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{1}{N_s}\lim_{t\to 0} t^{1-2s}\partial_t U(x,t) = -V_\varepsilon(x)U(x,0) + f(U(x,0)) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{2.8}$$

Define the function spaces H_0 and H_{V_ε} by the sets of $U \in D^1(t^{1-2s}, \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ satisfying

$$\|U\|_0^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2s}|\nabla U(x,t)|^2 dxdt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} U^2(x,0)dx < \infty,$$
$$\|U\|_{V_\varepsilon}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2s}|\nabla U(x,t)|^2 dxdt + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_\varepsilon(x)U^2(x,0)dx < \infty.$$

We call $U \in H_0$ is a weak solution of (2.7) if U satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2s}\nabla U \nabla V dxdt + N_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [mU(x,0) - f(U(x,0))]V(x,0)dx = 0$$

for any $V \in H_0$. It is well known that if $U \in H_0$ is a weak solution of (2.7), then $U(x,0) = tr(U) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a weak solution of (1.6). Similarly we can define the weak solution of (2.8) and get the similar relation between solutions of (2.1) and (2.8).

Proposition 2.4 gives the main results about the existence and compactness of ground state solutions of (1.6). Since the ground state solutions of (2.7) play a crucial role in the idea of [25], now, we investigate the existence and compactness of ground state solutions of (2.7).

Proposition 2.5. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists a positive ground solution to* (2.7)*. Denote by* $\tilde{S}_m$ *the set of ground state solutions of* (2.7) *such that, for any* $U \in \tilde{S}_m$*,* $tr(U)$ *is radial and attains its maximum at* $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$*, then* $\tilde{S}_m$ *is compact.*

Proof. From proposition 2.4, we know that under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, there exists a least energy solution of (1.6). Let S_m be the set of positive radial and decreasing ground state solutions, then S_m is compact in $H_r^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Define the energy functional associated to (1.6) and (2.7) respectively by

$$I(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}}u|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\frac{1}{2}mu^2 - F(u)]dx,$$
$$J(U) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2s}|\nabla U|^2 dxdt + N_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\frac{1}{2}mU(x,0)^2 - F(U(x,0))]dx.$$

From the argument about extended problem, by a simple calculation, we can obtain that $J(U) = N_s I(u)$. So we can see that the solutions of (1.6) and (2.7) are one to one.

Let $\{u_n\} \in S_m$, then $u_n \to u_0$ in S_m since S_m is compact. $U_n = E_s(u_n)$ and $U_0 = E_s(u_0)$ are (weak) solutions of (2.7) corresponding to u_n and u_0 respectively. It is known that $U_n \in \tilde{S}_m$ and $U_0 \in \tilde{S}_m$. To prove $\tilde{S}_m$ is compact, it suffices to prove that $\|U_n - U_0\|_0 \to 0$. Since U_n and U_0 are (weak) solutions of (2.7), for any $\phi \in H_0$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2s}(\nabla U_n \nabla \phi)dxdt = N_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(U_n(x,0) - mU_n(x,0)]\phi(x,0)dx \quad (2.9)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2s}(\nabla U_0 \nabla \phi)dxdt = N_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(U_0(x,0) - mU_0(x,0)]\phi(x,0)dx. \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.9)-(2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2s}(\nabla U_n - \nabla U_0)\nabla \phi dxdt \\
&= N_s \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [f(U_n(x,0)) - f(U_0(x,0)) - m(U_n(x,0) - U_0(x,0))]\phi(x,0)dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $u_n \to u_0$ in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2s}(\nabla U_n - \nabla U_0)\nabla \phi = 0.$$

Let $\phi = U_n - U_0$, we can get

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+} t^{1-2s}|\nabla U_n - \nabla U_0|^2 = 0,$$

that is $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|U_n - U_0\|^2_{D^1(t^{1-2s},\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)} = 0$. Let $n \to \infty$, we can obtain

$$\|U_n - U_0\|_0^2 = \|U_n - U_0\|^2_{D^1(t^{1-2s},\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)} + \|U_n(x,0) - U_0(x,0)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)} \to 0.$$

The proof is completed. □

For studying the existence and concentration phenomena by harmonic extension, we need some elliptic estimates for the extended problem.

2.4. Elliptic estimates

Let $\Omega_r := B_r^N(0) \times (0, r)$. Consider the following nonlinear Neumann boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla U) = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \Omega_1, \\ -\lim_{t\to 0} t^{1-2s}\partial_t U(x,t) = a(x)U(x,0) + g(x) \text{ in } B_1^N(0). \end{cases} \tag{2.11}$$

Let $H^1(t^{1-2s}, \Omega_r)$ be the weighted Sobolev space with the norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|U(x,t)\|^2_{H^1(t^{1-2s},\Omega_r)} &= \int_{\Omega_r} |t|^{1-2s}(U^2 + |\nabla U|^2) \\ &= \|U(x,t)\|^2_{L^2(t^{1-2s},\Omega_r)} + \|U(x,t)\|^2_{D^1(t^{1-2s},\Omega_r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 2.6.(De Giorgi-Nash-Moser type estimate.)(see[20, 25]) *Suppose $a, g \in L^p(B_1^N(0))$ for some $p > \frac{N}{2s}$.*

(i)Let $U \in H^1(t^{1-2s}, \Omega_1)$ be a weak solution of (2.11). Then $U \in L^\infty(\Omega_{1/2})$ and there exist a constant $C > 0$ depending only on N, s, p and $\|a\|_{L^p(B_1^N(0))}$ such that

$$\sup_{\Omega_{1/2}} U \le C\left(\|U\|_{L^2(t^{1-2s},\Omega_1)} + \|g\|_{L^p(B_1^N(0))}\right); \tag{2.12}$$

(ii)Let $U \in H^1(t^{1-2s}, \Omega_1)$ be a weak solution of (2.11). Then there is a $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ depending only on N, s, p such that $U \in C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega_{1/2}})$ and there exist a constant $C > 0$ depending only on N, s, p and $\|a^+\|_{L^p(B_1^N(0))}$ such that

$$\|U\|_{C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega_{1/2}})} \le C\left(\|U^+\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_1)} + \|g\|_{L^p(B_1^N(0))}\right). \tag{2.13}$$

3 The properties of ground state solutions for limit problem (1.6) and (2.7)

In this section, we study the uniform boundedness of solutions to the limit problem (1.6) and (2.7) by the Moser iterative technique[4].

Proposition 3.1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.1, for any $u \in S_m$, $u \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover,* $\sup\{\|u\|_\infty : u \in S_m\} < \infty$.

Proof. The idea of Moser iterative technique for the fractional Laplacian problem was introduced in [4]. For the reader's convenience, we give the detail. Let $u_n \in S_m$ and for $\gamma \geq 1$, $T > 0$, we define

$$\varphi(t) = \varphi_{\gamma,T}(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t \leq 0, \\ t^\gamma, & 0 < t < T, \\ \gamma T^{\gamma-1}(t-T) + T^\gamma, & t \geq T. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to verify that, for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varphi'(t) \leq \gamma T^{\gamma-1}$ and $t\varphi'(t) \leq \gamma\varphi(t)$. Since $\varphi(t)$ is convex, we have $(-\Delta)^s\varphi(u_n) \leq \varphi'(u_n)(-\Delta)^s u_n$ and consequently $\|\varphi(u_n)\|_{D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \gamma T^{\gamma-1}\|u_n\|_{D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)}$. On the other hand, from Lemma 2.2, we obtain

$$S_s\|\varphi(u_n)\|_{D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} \geq \|\varphi(u_n)\|_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}. \tag{3.1}$$

It follows from (F_1) and (F_2) that there exists a constant C such that $|f(t)| \leq Ct^{2_s^*-1}$. Since $u_n \geq 0 \in S_m$ is a ground state solution of (1.6), we have

$$\|\varphi(u_n)\|^2_{D^s(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(u_n)\varphi'(u_n)(-\Delta)^s u_n \leq C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi(u_n)\varphi'(u_n)u_n^{2_s^*-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

Together with (3.1) and $u_n\varphi'(u_n) \leq \gamma\varphi(u_n)$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(u_n)\|^2_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} &\leq CS_s^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi'(u_n)u_n\varphi(u_n)u_n^{2_s^*-2} \\ &\leq C_\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2(u_n)u_n^{2_s^*-2}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

where $C_\gamma = CS_s^2\gamma$. By Hölder inequality, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi^2(u_n)u_n^{2_s^*-2} &= \int_{\{u_n\leq R\}} \varphi^2(u_n)u_n^{2_s^*-2} + \int_{\{u_n>R\}} \varphi^2(u_n)u_n^{2_s^*-2} \\ &\leq \int_{\{u_n\leq R\}} \varphi^2(u_n)R^{2_s^*-2} + \|\varphi(u_n)\|^2_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \left(\int_{\{u_n>R\}} u_n^{2_s^*}\right)^{\frac{2_s^*-2}{2_s^*}}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Since $u_n \in S_m$ and S_m is compact, u_n is uniformly bounded in $H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then we take R large enough(be fixed later) such that $\int_{\{u_n>R\}} u_n^{2_s^*} \leq C_\gamma^{\frac{2_s^*}{2-2_s^*}}$. Using the fact that $\varphi(u_n) \leq u_n^\gamma$, it follows from (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\|\varphi(u_n)\|^2_{L^{2_s^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \tilde{C}_\gamma R^{2_s^*-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^{2\gamma}, \tag{3.5}$$

where $\tilde{C}_\gamma > 0$ is a constant. We chose $\gamma = 2_s^*/2$ in (3.5) and denote it as γ_1. Now letting $T \to \infty$ in the left side of (3.5), we can get $u_n \in L^{2_s^*\gamma_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. In (3.3), letting $T \to \infty$ and using the fact $\varphi(u_n) \le u_n^\gamma$, we infer that $\|u_n\|^{2\gamma}_{L^{2_s^*\gamma}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C_\gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^{2_s^*+2\gamma-2}$. Let $2_s^* + 2\gamma - 2 = 2_s^*\gamma_1$, then we have $\gamma \triangleq \gamma_2 = (2_s^*/2)(\gamma_1 - 1) + 1$ such that $u_n \in L^{2_s^*\gamma_2}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Repeating this argument we can define an increasing sequence $\{\gamma_i\}$ satisfying

$$\gamma_{i+1} - 1 = \left(\frac{2_s^*}{2}\right)^i (\gamma_1 - 1)$$

such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^{\gamma_{i+1}2_s^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*(\gamma_{i+1}-1)}} \le C_{\gamma_{i+1}}^{\frac{1}{2(\gamma_{i+1}-1)}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^{\gamma_i 2_s^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*(\gamma_i-1)}}, \tag{3.6}$$

where $C_i = CS_S^2\gamma_i$. Let $K_i = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u_n^{\gamma_i 2_s^*}\right)^{\frac{1}{2_s^*(\gamma_i-1)}}$, for $\tau > 0$ we can obtain that

$$K_{\tau+1} \le \prod_{i=2}^{\tau} C_{\gamma_i}^{\frac{1}{2(\gamma_i-1)}} K_1.$$

After a simple calculation, we conclude that there exists a constant $C_0 > 0$, independent of τ, such that $K_{\tau+1} \le C_0 K_1$ uniformly for $n \in N$. Hence,

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \le C_0 K_1 < \infty, \quad \forall n \in N.$$

The proof is finished. □

Remark 3.2. From the expression (2.4) and the fact $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_s(x,1)dx = 1$, we have

$$|U_n(x,t)| \le \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} P_s(x,t)dx \le \|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

So $U_n(x,t) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ and U_n is uniformly bounded in $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+)$ for $n \in N$.

4 The proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we use the truncation approach to prove Theorem 1.1. First, we seek the critical point to the truncated problem, by the elliptic estimate we show that a solution of the truncated problem is indeed a solution of the original problem.

By Proposition 3.1, there exists $\bar{k} > 0$ such that

$$\sup_{u \in S_m} u < \bar{k}.$$

For any $k > \max_{t\in[0,\bar{k}]}$, define

$$f_k(t) = \min\{f(t), k\}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Then $f_k(t) \in [0, k]$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $f_k(t) = 0$ for $t \leq 0$.

In the following, we consider the truncated problem

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V_\varepsilon(x)u = f_k(u), \quad u \in H^s_{V_\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{4.1}$$

The corresponding limit equation is

$$(-\Delta)^s u + mu = f_k(u), \quad u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N), \tag{4.2}$$

whose energy functional is given by

$$I^k_m(u) = \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u|^2 + mu^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_k(u),$$

where $F_k(t) = \int_0^t f_k(t)dt$.

The extended problem about (4.2) is

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}(t^{1-2s}\nabla U) = 0 \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^{N+1}_+, \\ -\frac{1}{N_s}\lim_{t\to 0} t^{1-2s}\partial_t U(x,t) = -mU(x,0) + f_k(U(x,0)) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases} \tag{4.3}$$

Remark 4.1. For any $k > \max_{t\in[0,\bar{k}]}$, it is obvious that f_k satisfies $(H_1)-(H_2)$ in Theorem A. Now, we show that f_k also satisfies (H_3). Indeed, any $u \in S_m$ is obtained by removing the Lagrange multiplier after some appropriate scaling by looking at the minimizer to the constraint minimization problem

$$M := \inf\{T(u) : G(u) = 1, u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\},$$

where $G(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) - \frac{m}{2}u^2$. Then $G(u) > 0$ which means that there exists $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $F(u(x_0)) > \frac{m}{2}u^2(x_0)$. Obviously, $F_k(u(x)) \equiv F(u(x))$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$. Let $T = u(x_0) > 0$, we get that $F_k(T) > \frac{m}{2}T^2$, i.e., (H_3) holds for f_k.

By Remark 4.1, in [25], J. Seok showed that under the conditions $(H_1) - (H_3)$, there exists a positive least energy solution to (4.3). For every least energy solution $U(x,t)$, the trace $u = U(x,0)$ is a positive classical solution of (4.2). Moreover, every $U(x,t)$ is a mountain pass solution. Let $\tilde{S}_m^k$ denote the least energy solutions of (4.3) such that $U(x,0)$ attains its maximum at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, then $\tilde{S}_m^k$ is compact. Denote by E_m^k the energy of $u \in S_m^k$. Noting that $f_k(t) \leq f(t)$ for any t, we get that $E_m^k \geq E_m$ because every solution is a mountain pass solution. On the other hand, it follows from $\sup\{u | u \in S_m\} < \bar{k}$ and the definition of f_k that $S_m \subset S_m^k$. So $E_m^k \leq E_m$. Thus,

$$E_m^k = E_m \quad \text{for} \quad k > \max_{t\in[0,\bar{k}]} f(t).$$

Lemma 4.2. *For $k > \max_{t\in[0,\bar{k}]} f(t)$ large enough, we have*

$$S_m^k = S_m.$$

Proof. By the argument above, we have $S_m \subset S_m^k$. Now, we claim that $S_m^k \subset S_m$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} T(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |(-\Delta u)|^2, \\ V(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) - \frac{m}{2}u^2, \\ V_k(u) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G_k(u) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F_k(u) - \frac{m}{2}u^2. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the constraint minimization problem

$$M := \inf\{T(u) : V(u) \equiv 1, u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\} \tag{4.4}$$

$$M_k := \inf\{T(u) : V_k(u) \equiv 1, u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N)\}. \tag{4.5}$$

Let $\tilde{u}_k$ is a minimizer of (4.5), by the Pohozăev identity (2.3), $u_k = \tilde{u}_k(\frac{x}{\sigma}) \in S_m^k$, where $\sigma = (\frac{N-2s}{2N}M_k)^{\frac{1}{2s}}$. Moreover, u_k is a minimizer of $T(u)$ in

$$\left\{ u \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N) : V_k(u) = \left(\frac{N-2s}{2N}M_k\right)^{\frac{N}{2s}} \right\}$$

and the energy

$$\begin{aligned} E_m^k = I_m^k(u_k) &= \frac{1}{2}T(u_k) - V_k(u_k) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}T(u_k) - \frac{N-2s}{2N}T(u_k) \\ &= \frac{s}{N}\sigma^{N-2s}T(\tilde{u}_k) \\ &= \frac{s}{N}\left(\frac{N-2s}{2N}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} M_k^{\frac{N}{2s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we obtain $E_m = \frac{s}{N}\left(\frac{N-2s}{2N}\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{2s}} M^{\frac{N}{2s}}$. Since $E_m = E_m^k$, we have $M = M_k$ for $k > \max_{t\in[0,\bar{k}]} f(t)$. Now, we claim that $\tilde{u}_k$ is also a minimizer of (4.4). As a consequence, $u_k = \tilde{u}_k(\frac{x}{\sigma}) \in S_m$ which means $S_m^k \subset S_m$.

Since $\tilde{u}_k$ is a minimizer of (4.5), we have

$$T(\tilde{u}_k) = M_k = M, \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$T(\tilde{u}_k) = M_k V_k(\tilde{u}_k) = M_k \left(V_k(\tilde{u}_k)\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{N}} \leq M \left(V(\tilde{u}_k)\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{N}}. \tag{4.7}$$

Now, we only need to claim that $\tilde{u}_k$ satisfies the constraint condition

$$V(\tilde{u}_k) = 1.$$

Let $\tilde{w}_k = \tilde{u}_k(\lambda\cdot)$ such that $V(\tilde{w}_k) = \lambda^{-N}V(\tilde{u}_k) = 1$, where $\lambda = (V(\tilde{u}_k))^{\frac{1}{N}}$. Thus we get $T(\tilde{w}_k) = \lambda^{-N+2s}T(\tilde{u}_k) = (V(\tilde{u}_k))^{\frac{-N+2s}{N}} T(\tilde{u}_k) \geq M$. So we have

$$T(\tilde{u}_k) \geq M \left(V(\tilde{u}_k)\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{N}}.$$

Together with (4.7), we obtain

$$T(\tilde{u}_k) = M \left(V(\tilde{u}_k)\right)^{\frac{N-2s}{N}}. \tag{4.8}$$

Combining (4.6) and (4.8), we have $V(\tilde{u}_k) = 1$. The proof is completed. □

Corollary 4.3. *For $k > \max_{t\in[0,\bar{k}]} f(t)$ large enough, we have*

$$\tilde{S}_m^k = \tilde{S}_m.$$

From Proposition 2.5, it follows that $\tilde{S}_m^k$ is compact and any $U \in \tilde{S}_m^k$ attains its maximum at $0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$.

Completion of Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. We consider the truncated problem (4.1). By Corollary 4.3, for fixed $k > \max_{t\in[0,\bar{k}]} f(t)$, we have $\tilde{S}_m^k = \tilde{S}_m$. By Remark 4.1, f_k satisfies all the assumptions in Theorem A. As the method introduced in [25], we define a set of approximation solutions by

$$N_\varepsilon(\rho) = \{\phi_\varepsilon(\cdot - \frac{x_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon})U(\cdot - \frac{x_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}, t) + w : x_\varepsilon \in M^\delta, U \in \tilde{S}_m, \\ w \in H_{V_\varepsilon}, \delta > 0, \|w\|_{V_\varepsilon} \le \rho\},$$

where $\phi : \mathbb{R}^N \to [0,1]$ is defined as a smooth cut-off function satisfying

$$\phi(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x| \le \delta, \\ 0, & |x| \ge 2\delta, \end{cases}$$

and $M^\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : dist(x, A) \le \delta\}$. From [25], we get that there exists a critical point $U_\varepsilon \in N_\varepsilon(\rho)$ to (2.8) where $f(U(x,0)) = f_k(U(x,0))$ and $u_\varepsilon = U_\varepsilon(\cdot, 0)$ is a classical solution of (4.1). Moreover, there exists a maximum point x_ε of u_ε such that $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} dist(\varepsilon x_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}) = 0$. As a consequence from Proposition 3.1 in [25], we can infer that $u_\varepsilon(\cdot + x_\varepsilon) \to u(\cdot + z_0)$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ in $H^s_{V_\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ where $u(\cdot) \in S_m^k = S_m$ and $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$. By the similar method used in Proposition 3.1 and Remark 3.2, we deduce that $u_\varepsilon(x)$ is uniformly L^∞-bound for ε and so is $U_\varepsilon(x,t)$.

Let $w_\varepsilon(\cdot) = u_\varepsilon(\cdot + x_\varepsilon)$, then w_ε satisfies

$$(-\Delta)^s w_\varepsilon + V_\varepsilon(x + x_\varepsilon)w_\varepsilon = f_k(w_\varepsilon), \quad w_\varepsilon \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^N),$$

where V_ε satisfies $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} V_\varepsilon(x+x_\varepsilon) = m$ uniformly in $B_1^N(0)$. From the elliptic estimate (2.13), we obtain that w_ε is uniformly bounded and equicontinuous concerning ε in $\overline{B_1^N(0)}$. By Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, $w_\varepsilon(\cdot) \to u(\cdot + z_0)$ uniformly in $\overline{B_1^N(0)}$. Since x_ε is the maximum point of u_ε, we get $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty} = w_\varepsilon(0) \le \bar{k}$ uniformly holds for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. So we have $f_k(u_\varepsilon(x)) \equiv f(u_\varepsilon(x))$, which means that $u_\varepsilon(x)$ is a solution of the original problem (2.1). Denote $v_\varepsilon(\cdot) = u_\varepsilon(\cdot/\varepsilon)$, then $v_\varepsilon(\cdot)$ is the solution of (1.1). Letting $y_\varepsilon = \varepsilon x_\varepsilon$, we obtain that y_ε is the maximum point of v_ε which satisfies $\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} dist(y_\varepsilon, \mathcal{M}) = 0$. The proof is completed. □

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their sincere gratitude to the anonymous referee for his/her valuable suggestions and comments. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (11271364).

References

[1] C. O. Alves, M. A. S. Souto and M. Montenegro, Existence of a ground state solution for a nonlinear scalar field equation with critical growth, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. 43, 537-554(2012).

[2] C. O. Alves, O. H. Miyagaki, Existence and concentration of solution for a class of fractional elliptic equation in $\mathbb{R}^N$ via penalization method, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. 55:47(2016).

[3] D. Applebaum, Lévy processes-from probability theory to finance and quantum groups, Not. Am. Math. Soc. 51, 1320-1331(2004) .

[4] B. Barriosa, E. Coloradoc, R. Servadeid, F. Soriaa, A critical fractional equation with concave-convex power nonlinearities, Ann. I. H. Poincaré-AN. 32, 875-900(2015).

[5] H. Berestycki and P. Lions, Nonlinear scalar field equations I. Existence of a ground state, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 82, 90-117(1990).

[6] G. M. Bisci, V. Radulescu, Ground state solutions of scalar field fractional Schrödinger equation, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equatioans. 54, 2985-3008(2015).

[7] C. Brändle, E. Colorado, U. Sánchez, A concave-convex elliptic problem involving the fractionnal Laplacian, Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. 143A, 39-71(2013).

[8] J. Byeon, Mountain pass solutions for singularly perturbed nonlinear Dirichlet problems, J. Differential Equations. 217, 257-281(2005) .

[9] J. Byeon, Singularly perturbed nonlinear Dirichlet problems with a general nonlinearity, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 362, 1981-2001(2010).

[10] J. Byeon and L. Jeanjean, Standing waves for nonlinear Schröding equations with a general nonlinearity, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 185, 185-200(2007).

[11] J. Byeon, J. J. Zhang and W. M. Zou, Singularly perturbed nonlinear Dirichlet problems involving critical growth, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. 47, 65-85(2013).

[12] L. Caffarelli, L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, Commun. PDEs. 32, 1245-1260(2007).

[13] G. Chen, Y. Zheng, Concentration phenomena for fractional nonlinear Schrödinger equations, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal. 13, 2359-2376(2014).

[14] A. Cotsiolis and N. K. Tavoularis, Best constants for Sobolev inequalities for higher order fractional derivatives, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 295, 225-236(2004).

[15] J. Dávila, M. del Pino, J. Wei, Concentrating standing waves for the fractional nonlinear Schröding equation, J. Differ. Equ. 256(2), 858-892(2014).

[16] M. M. Fall, F. Mahmoudi, E. Valdinoci, Ground states and concentration phenomena for the fractional Schrödinger equations, Nonlinearity. 28, 1937-1961(2015).

[17] A. Floer and A. Weinstein, Nonspreading wave packets for the cubic Schrödinger equations with a bounded potential, J. Funct. Anal. 69, 397-408(1986).

[18] X. M. He, W. M. Zou, Existence and concentration result for the fractional Schrödinger equations with critical nonlinearities, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations. 55-91(2016).

[19] L. Jeanjean and K. Tanaka, A remark on least energy solutions in $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 13, 2399-2408(2002).

[20] T. L. Jin, Y. Y. Li, J. G. Xiong, On a fractional Nirenberg problem, part I: blow up analysis and compactness of solutions, J. Eur. Math. Soc. 616, 1237-1262(2014).

[21] N. Laskin, Fractional quantum mechanics and Lévy path integrals, Phys. Lett. A. 268(4), 298-305(2000).

[22] N. Laskin, Fractional Schröding equation, Phys. Rev. E. 66, 056-108(2002).

[23] P. L. Lions, Symétrie et compacité dans les espaces de Sobolev, J. Funct. Analysis. 49, 315-334(1982).

[24] E. D. Nezza, G. Palatucci and E. Valdinoci, Hitchhikers guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, Bull. Sci. Math. 136, 521-573(2012).

[25] J. Seok, Spike-layer solutions to nonlinear fractional Schröding equations with almost optimal nonlinearities, Electron. J. Differential Equations. 196, 1-19(2015).

[26] X. D. Shang, J. H. Zhang, Concentrating solutions of nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equation with potentials, J. Differ. Equ. 258(4), 1106-1128(2015).

[27] K. M. Teng, X. M. He, Ground state solutions for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical Sobolev exponent, Commun. Pure Apple. Anal. 16, 991-1008(2016).

[28] J. J. Zhang, Z. J. Chen and W. M. Zou, Standing wave for nonlinear Schröding equations involving critical growth, J. London Math. Soc. 90, 827-844(2014).

[29] J. J. Zhang and J. M. do O, Standing waves for nonlinear Schröding equations involving critical growth of Trudinger-Moser type, Z. Angew. Math. Phys. 66(6), 3049-3060(2015).

[30] J. J. Zhang, J. M. do O and M. Squassina, Fractional schrödinger-Poisson systems with a general subcritical or critical nonlinearity, Adv. Nonlinear Stud. 16(1), 15-30(2016).

[31] J. J. Zhang and W. M. Zou, A Berestycki-Lions Theorem revisited, Comm. Contemp. Math. 14(5), 1250033(2012).